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# Executive Summary

This report presents a listing of analysis records, each of which must include the following data: a verbatim copy of the requirement to which the record refers; detailed conclusions from the analysis and decisions made to mend the requirement; a link to the validation performed by a lecturer.

# Version Table

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| **Revision Number** | **Date** | **Description** |
| 1.0 | 02/20/2025 | Initial structure |
| 1.1 | 02/20/2025 | Executive summary, Introduction, Contents, conclusion |
| 1.2 | 02/20/2025 | Final version |

# Introduction

This document serves as an analysis report for the project requirements, identifying areas needing further clarification, modification, or validation. Each analysis record details an original requirement, the identified issue, the resolution, and a validation reference.

The document follows a structured format: an executive summary, revision table, an introduction, the contents, listing each analysis record, a conclusion and a bibliography.

# Contents

## 4.1 Analysis Record

**Requirement:**  
“Provide a link to your planning dashboard in GitHub.”

**Analysis and Conclusion:**  
The requirement does not specify the location to where the student should provide the link to the planning dashboard in GitHub leading to uncertainty.

**Decision/Correction:**  
It was decided to add the link to a .txt file inside the reports folder, so it could be seen clearly for the lecturer.

**Validation Link:**  
No lecturer feedback was needed to resolve the requirement.

# Conclusions

This analysis process highlighted the importance of precise requirement definitions. Thought the lecturer feedback wasn’t needed due to the simplicity of the task, in future requirements will provide better practices, reducing ambiguity.
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